## commentletters

From: Sent: Blair Hafner <BlairHafner@mcwd.dst.ca.us>

Friday, September 09, 2016 2:00 PM

To: Cc: commentletters

Subject:

Clay Murray
Comment Letter – ELAP Regulations Development/Laboratory Standard



To the Members of the State Water Resources Control Board.

On September 6, 2016 the State Board gave notice that they would be holding a Workshop on proposed changes to the laboratory accreditation regulations. The deadline for the submittal of comments is noon, Friday September 16, 2016 which is five and one half working days. The focus of the proposed changes is the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program's (ELAP) proposal to use Volume 1 of The NELAC Institute's (TNI) 2016 documents as the basis for laboratory accreditation. I, Blair Hafner, would like to submit the following comments to the Board for consideration on this topic.

- 1) Mammoth Community Water District is the only ELAP accredited lab in Mono County. We are only accredited for a small number of analyses most of which are time sensitive, making it very difficult to impossible to get them to other Labs within the permitted holding times. This is a huge and important resource for the people of Mono County for the protection of human health and the environment. We are a laboratory accredited by ELAP with only one full time staff member. We believe that the State Board should do all it can to encourage the existence and expansion of environmental laboratories in general and those associated with utilities in smaller and more remote locations in particular.
- 2) The scope of the proposed changes are enormous. Such a consequential undertaking should be addressed with great care and thoroughness. Experience in other states, such as Florida and New York where all accredited laboratories were required to be TNI compliant, has shown that many smaller laboratories closed. If for no other reason, this experience should give pause to the State Board in how it moves forward with any proposal to incorporate TNI documents into California's accreditation standards. If the Board wishes to encourage the use of as many laboratories as possible, it should not require the use of the TNI documents.
- 3) The TNI documents are not publically available. They have to be purchased from TNI for 130 dollars. It seems patently unfair to require small laboratories to purchase documents in order to simply comment on a proposed change to regulation. This contrary to all past practice where the public has had access to proposed changes in language. It is hard to see how this conforms with the intent of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) which attempts to maximize openness and transparency of the rule making process. Indeed, requiring the purchase of documents would appear to be contrary to the requirements of the APA.

- 4) The 2016 TNI document is nearly 200 pages long. It is entirely unreasonable to expect a one person laboratory to first purchase this document, read it, and then prepare intelligent comments in so short a period of time. It is extremely difficult for laboratories such as these to commit the resources needed to read such a large document at all, with much less in just a few days.
- 5) We would strongly urge the State Board to significantly postpone this workshop and greatly extend the comment period. It is also extremely important the proposed documents that would form the core of ELAP's new regulations be made publically available for free.

Thank you for your attention.

Blair Hafner

Laboratory Director Mammoth Community Water District (760)934-2596 ext.249